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Purpose: To evaluate the outcome of light guided endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy (DCR).

Material and Methods: Seven patients above the age of 35 years were recruited for the said study at the Combined Military Hospital Lahore. Careful selection of patient and assessment of their lacrimal apparatus status was established with radiological test. All those with Nasolacrimal duct obstruction irrespective of the level of obstruction were included in the study after proper consent. A joint venture by ENT and Eye surgeon involving introduction of fiber optic bright light commonly used for Vitrectomy through the lower canaliculus into the Lacrimal sac directed towards the medial wall of the sac which shines through in the dark room environment from the lateral wall of the nasal cavity and is marked by the surgeon. The mucous membrane and than the bone is removed through a bone cutter and the sac is reached. The sac wall is incised and later intubated for a period of three months.

Results: Initial results were encouraging in the form of reduction and disappearance of epiphora. Once the tube was removed, five out of seven patients showed remarkable and two showed moderate improvement in their symptoms of epiphora. A success rate of 100% was achieved as far the patient symptom was concerned. Individual patients were followed for a period of one year, after which a final assessment of their drainage system was made and was found to have satisfactory drainage system and patency.

Conclusion: This study demonstrated that good results can be achieved in cases of persistent epiphora due to nasolacrimal duct obstruction with less traumatic surgical procedure under local anaesthesia with equal or better results. A long term follow up is still awaited and we expect to have good outcome once a long term follow up is established.
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surrendered in favour of external DCR. The Endoscopic transnasal DCR is gaining popularity due to its added advantages of direct visualization over the operated area nasally, less traumatic a procedure in general and the procedure can be done under local infiltration anesthesia easily with good even better results than the external procedure. The Endoscopic DCR is primarily McDonogh and Meiring’s original work some fifteen years ago. Since than every effort is being made to further improve upon it. The further recent advances in DCR surgery with the use of Lasers (YAG, Carbon dioxide, Argon and KTP Lasers etc) has further simplifies the technique but laser availability with the specified Laser power and setting is still unavailable to most of us. The use of Silicone tubing, stents and other devices also plays important role in the overall success of the procedure. An overall success rate of external DCR ranges between 80-95% and that of Endoscopic DCR between 82-96% has been claimed by various surgeons through their studies1,3,15.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
A prospective study of seven patients for epiphora due to NLD obstruction was included in the study between August’ 2001 to August’ 2003. These seven patients were followed individually for period not less than 12 months post operatively. Patency of the lacrimal system was assessed by repeated syringing, ENT examination and DCG if needed. The silicone tubing left in the system for maintaining passages along with the sleeve was removed three month post...
Intra operative complications

1. Total cases 07
2. Intra operative complications 04
3. Intra operative bleeding 02
4. Increased Blood pressure 02

Post operative complications

1. Total cases 07
2. Post operative eechymosis 03

operatively once the clearance by the ENT surgeon
was given about the patency of the ostium.

After proper packing of the nasal cavity with
guaze soaked in 4% Lignocaine with adrenaline 1 in
100,000 for ten minutes, clear and decongested area
was achieved. 30* light supported rigid endoscope
introduced through the nose to mark the area anterior
to the middle meatus. The guide line for the mark area
is guided through 20G fiber optic light used for
vitrectomy procedure is directed through the lower
canaliculus and directed towards the medial walls of
the Lacrimal fossa. This light, the intensity of which is
controlled by the main booster, when shines through
the nasal cavity is marked. A U shaped incision is
made in the mucous membrane with open end of this
U directed posteriorly with a sickle knife. Once the
mucous membrane is dissected out, using a bone
punch, reverse if needed, the lacrimal bone of same
size was removed. The edge of the bone are
smootherened by repeated cutting or bone drill if
needed (in one case). The tenting of lacrimal sac by
fiber optic light can clearly be seen which then is
excised in similar U fashion using a knife.

The DCR tube with its guide wire passed through
each of the punctum and the canaliculus is secured at
the nostril when extruded. The two tube then are
embraced with a sleeve which is wired superiorly
towards the ostium and is secured there for the stay
period of three months till removal. After the
procedure the nasal packing done for 24 hours which
then was removed.

RESULTS

The study was conducted for a period of two years
starting in August 2001 ending August 2003. The cases
were carefully selected for the study and a proper
follow up was explained to the patients who followed
the instruction with a regular follow up during the
study period. A total of seven patients were enrolled
for the study with a follow up of one year for
individual patient with zero shortfalls at the end of the
study. This high success rate is attributed to the
available means of communication with the physicians
and the patients.

The average age of the patient was 50.5 years
ranging from thirty five to seventy two years. The
male to female ratio was 4:3 with male dominance in
this study. The patients had an ENT examination pre
operatively revealing DNS in four cases of mild to
moderate nature without nasal obstruction. There was
no sign of nasal allergy. The ocular examination pre
operatively also did not revealed any major
abnormality.

All the patients under went light guided Endo-
sopic DCR after proper explanation of the procedure
to which they accepted on a written form. The intra
operative complication included bleeding in two cases
(28.57%) and rise in the blood pressure during the
procedure in two cases (28.57%) controlled during the
surgery. Three patients had ecchymosis (42.88%). This
eventually settled in three weeks time. All patients
(seven) improved with the procedure with success rate
of 100% in the disappearance of their symptom of
epiphora and improvement of their clinical condition
till the completion of their follow up period of one
year. During the follow up period, a joint examination
was done by the Ophthalmologist and the ENT
surgeon as a routine. The results were appreciably
better\textsuperscript{3,12} than the more traumatic and general anesthesia dependant conventional Dacryocystorhinostomy.

**Successful Criteria**
- Disappearance of symptoms
- Improvement in clinical signs
- Open ostium of the operated area as seen by the ENT surgeon

(Table 1)

**DISCUSSION**
Dacryocystorhinostomy is considered the treatment of choice surgical option for epiphora due to Nasolacrimal duct obstruction of various causes discussed earlier. The procedure has a high success rate (over 90\% as is proved with various studies) but has some limitation due to following reasons. The procedure preferably is done under general anesthesia therefore per and post operative risks\textsuperscript{2} of general anesthesia are well known to every one although over period of time the anesthesia has become quite safer now. The traumatic procedure of osteotomy used has an impact over the patient for which patient remains uncomfortable for quite some time after the surgery. As compared to conventional DCR\textsuperscript{3} this procedure is as a routine done under local anesthesia and minimum tissue handling and disruption with even better out come as is shown by the results. The procedure can closely be compared with Laser Endo DCR started recently in some centre. The laser endo DCR is laser dependant which is generally not available in most of the tertiary care eye centre what to talk of primary and secondary centre. This procedure “Light guided Endoscopic DCR” therefore is an alternative to more traumatic general anesthesia dependant conventional DCR and pretty expensive Laser assisted endo DCR and can be done even at smaller centre due to availability of instrument. The technical expertise is not different from the other procedure however an ENT surgeon is asked to help for better per operative and post operative results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study Period</td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Study period, follow up period and age are in years

**CONCLUSION**
The result of endoscopic Light guided DCR as compared to conventional external DCR in our study are very encouraging. The initial response is excellent and it appears to be better than the quoted data in the literature of 82\% to 92\%\textsuperscript{1,15} success of internal DCR as is mentioned in numerous studies in the literature. All patients (100\%) were satisfied with the surgical procedure itself and there was no symptoms of Epiphora till the end of first year. A better coordination between ENT and Eye surgeon is the key to successful outcome and the procedure should be followed more than the External DCR.
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