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Optic Nerve Involvement in Retinoblastoma: Role of Computed Tomography with and without Contrast

Soufia Farrukh, Faroohi Saghir, Muhammad Zubair, Mughese Amin

Purpose: The study was designed to evaluate the role of contrast enhanced CT scan as a non invasive test in the detection of optic nerve involvement in retinoblastoma.

Material and Methods: This retrospective study was conducted in Bahawal Victoria Hospital, Bahawalpur over a period of one year. 19 consecutive retinoblastoma patients underwent CT scan without and with I/V contrast. If the central retinal vessels were subjectively visualized with I/V contrast the optic nerve (ON) was considered to be free of RB. 19 enucleated globes were also sent for histopathology, all the optic discs and nerves examined for presence or absence of tumor and the level of involvement.

Results: The correlation between visualization/enhancement of central retinal vessels and the presence or absence of optic nerve involvement histopathologically was found to be significant. (p=0.0006, Fisher exact test).

Conclusion: In high spatial resolution enhanced CT with 1.5mm sections, non visualization of central retinal vessels reliably indicates optic nerve involvement with retinoblastoma.

The diagnosis of RB is made primarily by indirect ophthalmoscopic examination with ultrasonography used as a confirmatory procedure. Since most intra ocular RB contains calcium, sonography is ideal because even a small amount of calcium produces a significantly high internal reflectivity in USG1,2. However once RB infiltrates the ON or extends into the orbit through sclera, sonography is ineffective because of shadowing artifact from intra ocular calcifications on the non calcific nature of extra ocular tumor.

Consequently other imaging procedures as CT or MRI are considered better modalities for evaluation of extra ocular extension of RB3.

Of the two procedures CT is preferred because of MRI’s relative insensitivity to calcifications3,4. (Fig 1) Both imaging procedures are valuable in detecting the presence of associated midline brain lesion, “trilateral RB5,6.

The involvement of optic nerve indicates poor prognosis in RB, therefore special attention is directed towards investigation of optic disc area with imaging procedure7.

In this study we evaluated the role of enhanced CT to demonstrate the involvement of optic nerve.

MATERIAL AND METHOD
This retrospective study was conducted in Bahawal Victoria Hospital, Bahawalpur over a period of one year. Ninteen patients with RB were selected for the study consecutively. Two patients were referred by pediatric surgeon and one by plastic surgeon. Ninteen eyes were studied with CT of the globe and orbits. High spatial resolution scans (1.5 mm section) were performed without and after administration of I/V contrast Ultravist-300, 2ml/kg). Multiplanar slices were obtained in enhanced scans. Special attention was paid to visualization of central retinal vessels. If
central area of optic nerve (ON) was enhanced anteriorly with intra venous contrast, central retinal vessels enhancement was labeled as ‘present’; (Fig 2), if not visualized it was labeled as ‘absent’. If entire optic nerve was enhanced diffusely with contrast, not only central retinal vessels but entire nerve was considered ‘positive’. These categories were based on subjective interpretation by the radiologist and the ophthalmologist.

RESULTS
Of 19 eyes, central retinal vessels enhancement was present in 8 (42.1%), absent in 8 (42.1%) and questionably present in 3 (15.8%). Optic nerve enhancement was present in 3 (15.8%) and absent in 16 (84.2%) eyes.

On histopathological examination of 19 enucleated globes ON involvement was negative in 10 (52.6%). RB was present anterior to lamina cribrosa in 1 (5.3%) and posterior to lamina cribrosa in 8 (42.1%).

The correlation between the presence of central retinal vessels enhancement on CT and histopathological ON involvement was studied in 19 eyes and found to be significant. All 8 cases (100%) in which CRV enhancement was absent showed histopathologic tumor involvement posterior to lamina cribrosa. Of 8 eyes in which central retinal vessels enhancement was present, 7 (87.5%) histopathological revealed non involvement of the ON and in 1 case (12.5%) the ON was involved with tumor anterior to lamina cribrosa.

The correlation between visualization of central retinal vessels on enhanced CT scan and Histopathologic ON involvement (positive or negative) was highly significant (p = 0.0006, Fisher exact test) whereas correlation between central retinal vessels visualization on enhanced CT scan and choroidal involvement on histopathological was found to be statistically in significant (p = 0.14).

DISCUSSION
During investigation for retinoblastoma, the two main aims are to establish the diagnosis and to determine the extent of the tumor. Most RB patients present with leucocoria and ophthalmoscopic recognition of RB. In a small percentage of cases, however, other conditions cause leucocoria; congenital cataract, toxocariasis, retinopathy or prematurity, PHPV, and Coats disease may be confused with RB.

A number of modalities including ultrasonography, CT and MRI are helpful in establishing the diagnosis. Because of the frequency of calcification, sonography is considered the most sensitive test for confirmation of diagnosis.
Once diagnosis is established, the next step is to determine the boundaries of the tumor within the eye and whether there is extension into optic nerve, sclera and beyond the globe, for the later purpose CT and MRI are superior to sonography because they offer better marginal details and are not affected by artificial shadowing due to intraocular calcification.

(Gd-DPTA) enhanced MRI provides good delineation of the tumor from adjacent fluid medium, better detecting tumor vascularity and better definition of orbital blood vessels but there are also limiting factors for studying optic nerve head with MRI, including poor signal to noise ratio, reduced spatial resolution and thicker sections (usually 3 mm). The most serious shortcoming of MRI in RB cases is its relative insensitivity towards calcification\textsuperscript{12}.

On the other hand, calcification can be detected by CT with a high degree of accuracy in approximately 90% of cases\textsuperscript{13}. Further advantages of CT are its easy enhancement capability and its potential for detecting the presence of calcified midline lesions. CT studies are also favored over MRI due to relatively easier access and lower cost with MRI reserved for more difficult cases\textsuperscript{14,15}. The short acquisition time of orbital CT studies (seconds) compared with MRI (minutes) decreases motion artifact.

Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRV Enhancement P/A/Q</th>
<th>ON Enhancement Present/Absent</th>
<th>ON Involvement Neg/ant LC/pos LC</th>
<th>Tumor H/P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Post. LC</td>
<td>Poorly Differentiated, ++Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Poorly Differentiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Post. LC</td>
<td>Diffuse Necrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Poorly Differentiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Post. LC</td>
<td>Extrascleral Nodule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionable</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Diffuse Necrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Post. LC</td>
<td>Poorly Differentiated, necrotic, ++Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Post. LC</td>
<td>Poorly Differentiated, ++Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Post. LC</td>
<td>Diffuse Necrosis, ++Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Diffuse Necrosis, +++Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Well Differentiated, ++Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Ant. LC</td>
<td>Well Differentiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Necrosis, ++Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Necrosis, ++Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Poorly Differentiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRV Enhancement P/A/Q</td>
<td>ON Enhancement Present/Absent</td>
<td>ON Involvement Neg/ant LC/pos LC</td>
<td>Tumor H/P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Post. LC</td>
<td>Poorly Differentiated, ++Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Poorly Differentiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Post. LC</td>
<td>Diffuse Necrosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRV, central retinal vessels; ON, optic nerve; Ca, calcium (+, ++, +++).
Using ultra thin (1.5 mm) sections to evaluate structures with a density significantly different from adjacent tissues, our results indicated improved visualization of the CRV. Although thin sections lead to low contrast resolution, this was not a disadvantage in our study because contrast enhancement was used.

In 8 cases where tumor was posterior to lamina cribrosa architectural disruption of central retinal vessels with tortuosity and distension could be visualized histopathologically. Any mass formation in the area such as RB or edema can easily produce distension with and without direct compression of the central retinal vein and/or artery, leading to their non visualization16.

CONCLUSION
Our study concluded that in high spatial resolution enhanced CT with 1.5 mm section, non visualization of central retinal vessels reliably indicates optic nerve invasion with RB. Although advances in CT and MR Angiography, echoplaner techniques and MR Spectroscopy may eventually offer better and safer imaging modalities, it seems that utilization of enhanced CT with ultra thin sections is a reliable and practical addition to our current armamentarium for retinoblastoma management.
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