Clinical and Demographic Characteristics of Intraocular Foreign Body Injury in a Referral Center: 3 Years Experience

Ibraheem Waheed Ademola, Nazmum Naha, Ibraheem Anifat Boladale

See end of article for authors affiliations

Correspondence to: Ibraheem Waheed Ademola, Retina Fellow (2016) Ispahani eye Institute, Dhaka, Bangladesh Consultant Department of Ophthalmology LAUTECH Teaching Hospital Ogbomoso Oyo State Nigeria Email: hanny4demmy@gmail.com

Purpose: To describe clinical and demographic features of patients with intraocular foreign body (IOFB) injuries in a referral center.

Study Design: Retrospective case review

Place and Duration of Study: From January, 2013 to December, 2015 at Department of Vitreo-retinal Surgery, Ispahani Islamia Eye Institute and Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Materials and Methods: We retrospectively reviewed 64 case files of patients who were treated for IOFB injuries between January, 2013 and December, 2015. Data on age, sex, ocular laterality, nature of foreign body, entry point/visual acuity, injury to surgery time, presence of endophthalmitis and siderosis bulbi were extracted and evaluated.

Results: Case files of 64 patients consisting 64 eyes were analyzed. The age of the patients ranged between 2-55 years. Males were mostly affected accounting for 95.3% of all cases. Left eye was slightly more affected (33, 51.6% versus 31, 48.4). The commonest entry site was cornea accounting for (60.9%). Majority (81.1%) of the cases had entry visual acuities which were equal or worse than 6/60. Intraocular foreign bodies were identified to be metallic (Iron) in 63 (98.4%) of the cases.

Conclusion: Intraocular foreign body injury is common among male working age group. There is need to formulate appropriate policy to reduce this cause of avoidable blindness.
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Ocular trauma is a major public health issue. Most commonly, it occurs at work, at home, during sport activities, motor vehicle crashes or interpersonal trauma. Reported risk factors are male gender, workplace, road accidents, alcoholism and lower socioeconomic class. It is a significant but preventable cause of blindness worldwide.

Ocular trauma has an impact on the healthcare system and also the wider economy due to time off work. Negrel and Thylefors reported that worldwide 1.6 million people are blind secondary to ocular injuries, 2.3 million have low visual acuity bilaterally and another 19 million people are with unilateral blindness.

This study was conceived and conducted with a view of providing useful information and insight into epidemiology of ocular trauma in our community. It is anticipated that the information that will be provided by this study will enhance better understanding of the burden and the risk factors associated intraocular foreign body injury in our community. And with improved understanding, the policy maker will be much more equipped to design targeted campaigns and develop effective plans for disseminating eye health messages.
injury prevention material to the public in order to reduce the burden of avoidable blindness from this cause.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

We retrospectively reviewed the medical charts of 64 consecutive patients who presented with posterior segment intraocular foreign body over 3 years at our institution.

The following data were collected from the medical records: age and sex, time elapsed between initial injury and surgery, pre- and post-operative best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), entry wound, details of the surgical procedures, nature of the foreign body, presence of endophthalmitis and retina detachment.

Data was entered using Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) software version 15, (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Categorical data are presented in mean, median and range while non-categorical data were represented in proportion and percentages and figures. A \( p \) value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Case files of 64 patients consisting 64 eyes were examined in the study. Their age ranged between 2-55 years with a mean and median age of 25.1 ± 9.24 and 24.5 years respectively. Males were more affected than the female patients (95.3% versus 4.7%). Further details of the demographic characteristics of the cases were as shown in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Years/Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td><strong>YEARS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>61 (95.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>64 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artisans</td>
<td>6 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Eye</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>31 (48.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>33 (51.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Right and left eyes were involved in 33, 51.6% and 31, 48.4% respectively. Entry visual acuity was worse or equal to 6/60 in 81.1% of the cases. Other details are as shown in table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual Acuity</th>
<th>Number of Subjects (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/6 - 6/12</td>
<td>3 - 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/18 - 6/36</td>
<td>16 - 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 6/60</td>
<td>81 - 84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in table 2, over 80% of cases remained with uncorrected visual ≤ 6/60 after first intervention.

The entrance wound sites in descending order were cornea (39, 60.9%), undetermined (13, 20.3%), Sclera (11, 17.2%) and sclera cornea (1, 1.6%).

Intraocular foreign bodies were identified to be metallic (Iron) in 63 (98.4%) cases while plastic object was found in one eye.

Of all the cases reviewed, 1 eye (1.7%) was eviscerated due to extensive injury and 1 had initial endophthalmitis which was treated with intra-vitreal antibiotics along with intraocular foreign body removal. Eighteen (18, 28%) of the eyes were aphakic as the time of review.

**DISCUSSION**

Studies on epidemiology of ocular trauma including intraocular foreign body injury are essential ingredient for attainment of vision 2020; elimination of avoidable
blindness. This is because such studies provide data that help stake holder / policy makers to either adjust ongoing interventional policies or design appropriate new program.

Consistently, most previous studies showed that ocular trauma is most frequent in young men. For example Napora et al. evaluated the intraocular and intra-orbital foreign bodies characteristics in 62 patients with IOFB injury. The authors found out that majority of the patients were male with a mean age of 38.1 years. In another large retrospective cohort study carried out at Moorfields Eye Hospital by Wickham et al., a mean age of 34.6+-12.4 years was reported. Also, Maneschg et al. examined the prognostic factors and visual outcome for open globe injuries with intraocular foreign bodies. They reported all their patients to be male with mean age of 28 ± 12.3 years. In concordance with these previous authors, majority of the patients in our study were also young males with mean age of 35 years. Reasons have been advanced to justify this observation. Koo et al. posited that this occurrence might be due to higher occupational exposure, higher involvement in dangerous sports and hobbies, alcohol use and risk taking behaviour in young men. We attribute this finding to the fact that young men are usually more active and adventurous. Additionally, men are the major breadwinners in most family settings in the studied population and as such they are more prone to occupational eye injury.

In intraocular foreign body injury (IOFBI), the entry wound is of significance. This is because to a certain extent the visual outcome is dependent on it. Demircan et al. examined 70 cases of ocular injuries with intraocular foreign bodies to determine the results of surgical management. In their report, cornea was the site of penetration in over 3/5th of the studied population accounting for 82.9% while sclera was involved in only few cases. In our study, the most frequent entrance wound site was cornea (60.9%). This value is lower when compared with some previous report but similar to others.

In this retrospective study, final (last visit) visual acuity was worse or equal to 6/60 in over 80% of the cases. We found this to be similar to that reported by Naporal et al., but in contradistinction to the report of Ehlers et al. We ascribe the poor entry visual acuity in majority of the cases to long injury to surgery time due to the late presentation. Our position was well corroborated by several studies wherein delayed removal of IOFB was found to be associated with poor visual and anatomical outcomes including the development of infectious endophthalmitis and retinal detachment. Contrarily, there are authors who posited that delayed IOFB removal does not have negative impact on the final visual outcomes of intraocular foreign body injury. Alternatively, our finding could also be a symbol that the initial injuries in most of the cases were very severe as evidenced by the poor entry visual acuities. Poor visual acuity at presentation has been shown to be a negative prognostic factor in the visual outcome of IOFB injury. However, it is noteworthy that certain significant numbers of the cases are aphakic and have not presented for further visual rehabilitation.

Infectious endophthalmitis is one of the most dreadful complications of intraocular foreign body injury. An incidence of 0 – 13.5% has been previously reported. In this study we had 3 (4.7%) cases of endophthalmitis associated with the injury. While our value is lower than that reported by some previous investigators, it is higher than some others. We opine that the development of endophthalmitis in the 4 cases may be due to long injury to surgical
intervention time. It could also be due to unhealthy eye care practices though this was not examined in this study.

Limitations of the study include its retrospective nature and the small sample size. Despite these limitations, our results revealed some useful information related to intraocular foreign body injury in our country.

CONCLUSION
There is need for increase awareness about wearing safety glasses at work to reduce the burden of this avoidable blindness.
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